Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

| Name of Action or Project: | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Adoption of Updated Village Comprehensive Plan Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map): | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need): The Village has undertaken a comprehensive process to update an older version of a municipal comprehensive plan for the Village. The planning process included an evaluation of existing conditions (demographic, housing, income, environmental, land use, economic, recreation, transportation, etc.), involvement of the public to determine issues and future desired direction, and development of strategies and actions that can be implemented over the next decade to help the Village reach their community goals. The process included multiple public input workshops, regular meetings of an ad-hoc committee (Comprehensive Plan Committee) appointed to draft the plan for the Village, and other qualitative studies. The plan includes extensive background information, vision and goals, strategies and actions, and a series of maps depicting various resources in the Village. Public hearings will be held by the Village Board on the Draft Plan. The Plan covers the entire portion of the Village. Adoption of such a plan is a Type I action under SEQR. | | | | |
| Telephone: (845) 358-0084 | | | | |
| E-Mail: village.clerk@uppernyack-ny.us | | | | |
| Address: 328 N Broadway | | | | |
| State: NY | Zip Code: 10960 | | | |
| Telephone: (845) 358-0084 | | | | |
| herhood, Village Clerk E-Mail: village.clerk@uppernyack-ny.us | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| State: | Zip Code: | | | |
| NY | 10960 | | | |
| Telephone: | | | | |
| A E-Mail: | | | | |
| Address: | | | | |
| State: | Zip Code: | | | |
| | land use, economic, recreation, trai of strategies and actions that can be public input workshops, regular meet other qualitative studies. The plan in ting various resources in the Village Adoption of such a plan is a Type I Telephone: (845) 358-0084 E-Mail: village.clerk@uppernyad State: NY Telephone: (845) 358-0084 E-Mail: village.clerk@uppernyad State: NY Telephone: State: NY | | | |

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)

| Government Entity | If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required | Application Date (Actual or projected) | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| a. City Counsel, Town Board, Ves No or Village Board of Trustees | Adoption of Comprehensive Plan Update | 02/20/2020 | | |
| b. City, Town or Village ☐Yes☑No Planning Board or Commission | | | | |
| c. City, Town or □Yes ☑No Village Zoning Board of Appeals | | | | |
| d. Other local agencies □Yes ☑No | | | | |
| e. County agencies | RC Planning Dept - GML 239 | 02/20/2020 | | |
| f. Regional agencies Yes No | | | | |
| g. State agencies Yes No | | | | |
| h. Federal agencies | | | | |
| i. Coastal Resources. <i>i</i> . Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway? | | | | |
| <i>ii.</i> Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? | | | | |

C. Planning and Zoning

| C.1. Planning and zoning actions. | |
|--|-----------------|
| Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1 | ℤ Yes□No |
| C.2. Adopted land use plans. | |
| a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located? | V Yes No |
| If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located? | V Yes No |
| b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s): | ℤ Yes⊡No |
| Remed <u>iaton Sites:546031</u> | |
| c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan? If Yes, identify the plan(s): | Yes No |
| | |

| C.3. Zoning | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? Zoning classifications vary within the Village | ☑ Yes ☐ No |
| | |
| b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? | □Yes□No N/A |
| c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, | ZYes No |
| i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? Zone changes may be implemented after adoption of the Plan, to be | e determined |
| C.4. Existing community services. | |
| a. In what school district is the project site located? Nyack School District | |
| b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? Clarkstown Police Dept. | |
| c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? Nyack Fire District, Nyack Ambulance | |
| d. What parks serve the project site? Hook Mountain, Rockland Lake and Nyack Beach State Parks, All Town of Clarkstown Parks, River Hook Preserve (Village). | |
| D. Project Details | |
| D.1. Proposed and Potential Development | |
| a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if n components)? | nixed, include all |
| b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? acres | |
| b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? acres acre | |
| or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? | |
| c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? <i>i.</i> If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, n square feet)? | Yes No niles, housing units, |
| d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? If Yes, | Yes No |

i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)

| ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed? | |
|---|------------|
| iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum | Maximum |
| e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? <i>i</i>. If No, anticipated period of construction: <i>ii</i>. If Yes: Total number of phases anticipated Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolitic Anticipated completion date of final phase Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, in | month year |
| determine timing or duration of future phases: | |

| | ct include new resid | | | | ☐Yes ☐No |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| If Yes, show num | bers of units propo | | | | |
| | One Family | <u>Two Family</u> | Three Family | Multiple Family (four or more) | |
| Initial Phase | | | | | |
| At completion | | | | | |
| of all phases | | | | 1 | |
| g. Does the propo | sed action include | new non-residenti | al construction (inclu | iding expansions)? | ∐YesN o |
| If Yes, | | | | 0 | |
| <i>i</i> . Total number | of structures | | | | |
| <i>iii.</i> Approximate | extent of building s | pace to be heated | height; or cooled: | width; andlength square feet | |
| | | | | I result in the impoundment of any | Yes No |
| liquids, such as | s creation of a wate | supply, reservoir | pond, lake, waste la | agoon or other storage? | |
| If Yes, | | | | | |
| <i>i</i> . Purpose of the | impoundment: | inal course of the | watan | | |
| | | - | | Ground water Surface water stream | ns [_]Other specify: |
| <i>iii</i> . If other than w | ater, identify the ty | pe of impounded/ | contained liquids and | t their source. | |
| iv. Approximate | size of the proposed | l impoundment. | Volume: | million gallons: surface area: | acres |
| v. Dimensions of | f the proposed dam | or impounding str | ucture: | million gallons; surface area: _ height; length | 0005 |
| vi. Construction | nethod/materials for | or the proposed da | m or impounding str | ucture (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, conc | rete): |
| | | | | | |
| D.2. Project Ope | erations | | | | |
| a. Does the propos | sed action include a | ny excavation, mi | ning, or dredging, du | uring construction, operations, or both? | Yes No |
| (Not including | general site prepara | tion, grading or in | stallation of utilities | or foundations where all excavated | |
| materials will re | emain onsite) | | | | |
| If Yes: | rpose of the excava | tion on deadate of | | | |
| | | | etc) is proposed to | be removed from the site? | |
| Volume | specify tons or cub | ic vards): | , etc.) is proposed to | be removed from the site? | |
| Over what | at duration of time? | | | | |
| iii. Describe natur | e and characteristic | s of materials to b | e excavated or dredg | ed, and plans to use, manage or dispose | of them. |
| | | | | | |
| iv. Will there be | onsite dewatering o | r processing of ex | cavated materials? | | Yes No |
| If yes, describ | e | | | | |
| v What is the tot | al area to be dredge | d or everystad? | | | |
| vi. What is the ma | at area to be tredge | vorked at any one | time? | acres | |
| vii. What would be | e the maximum dep | th of excavation o | r dredging? | feet | |
| viii. Will the excav | vation require blasti | ng? | | | Yes No |
| ix. Summarize site | reclamation goals | and plan: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | |
| b. Would the prop | osed action cause o | r result in alteratio | n of, increase or deci | rease in size of, or encroachment | Yes No |
| into any existin | g wetland, waterbo | dy, shoreline, beau | ch or adjacent area? | | |
| If Yes: <i>i</i> . Identify the we | tland or waterbody | which would be a | ffected (by name and | ater index number, wetland map numbe | an ano anothin |
| description): | the of th | | motion (by name, wa | ater index number, wettand map numbe | r or geographic |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| <i>ii.</i> Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres: | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe: | ☐Yes ☐No | | | |
| <i>iv.</i> Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes: | ☐ Yes No | | | |
| acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: | | | | |
| expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: | | | | |
| purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): | | | | |
| proposed method of plant removal: | | | | |
| if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): | | | | |
| v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance: | | | | |
| . Deserve any proposed recramation/initigation following disturbance: | | | | |
| c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? | | | | |
| If Yes: | Yes No | | | |
| <i>i</i> . Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/day | | | | |
| <i>ii.</i> Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? | □Yes □No | | | |
| If Yes: | | | | |
| Name of district or service area: | | | | |
| Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? | Yes No | | | |
| Is the project site in the existing district? | \Box Yes \Box No | | | |
| Is expansion of the district needed? | \Box Yes \Box No | | | |
| Do existing lines serve the project site? | | | | |
| <i>iii.</i> Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? | Yes No | | | |
| If Yes: | Yes No | | | |
| Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: | ; | | | |
| • Source(s) of supply for the district: | | | | |
| <i>iv.</i> Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? | ☐ Yes No | | | |
| If, Yes: | | | | |
| Applicant/sponsor for new district: | | | | |
| Date application submitted or anticipated: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: | | | | |
| vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity: | gallons/minute. | | | |
| d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? | ☐ Yes ☐No | | | |
| If Yes: | | | | |
| <i>i</i> . Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day | | | | |
| <i>ii.</i> Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe approximate volumes or proportions of each): | be all components and | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? | Yes No | | | |
| If Yes: | | | | |
| Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: | | | | |
| Name of district: | | | | |
| Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? Is the project site in the quinting district? | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| Is the project site in the existing district? Is expansion of the district product? | Yes No | | | |
| • Is expansion of the district needed? | □ Yes □No | | | |

| Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? | □Yes □No |
|--|--|
| • Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? | □Yes □No |
| If Yes: | |
| Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: | |
| | |
| iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site? | ☐Yes ☐No |
| If Yes: | |
| Applicant/sponsor for new district: | |
| Date application submitted or anticipated: What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge? | |
| v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spectrum | |
| receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans): | ectrying proposed |
| | |
| | |
| vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: | |
| | ······································ |
| a Will the proposed action that the standard to th | |
| e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point | □Yes □No |
| source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction? | |
| If Yes: | |
| i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel? | |
| Square feet or acres (impervious surface) | |
| Square feet or acres (parcel size) | |
| <i>ii.</i> Describe types of new point sources. | |
| iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent | properties. |
| groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)? | |
| | |
| If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands: | |
| | |
| | |
| Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| <i>iv.</i> Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater | |
| f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations? | □Yes □No |
| If Yes, identify: | |
| <i>i</i> . Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles) | |
| | |
| ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers) | |
| iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation) | |
| | |
| g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, | □Yes □No |
| or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? | |
| If Yes: | |
| <i>i.</i> Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet | □Yes □No |
| ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) <i>ii.</i> In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate: | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆) | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs) | |
| Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) | |

| h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes: | Yes No |
|--|------------------------------|
| <i>i.</i> Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): | generate heat or |
| Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): | Yes No |
| j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): Morning Evening Weekend Randomly between hours of to ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump truck) | _Yes_No s): |
| <i>iii.</i> Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease <i>iv.</i> Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? <i>v.</i> If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing <i>vi.</i> Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? <i>vii</i> Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? <i>viii</i>. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? | Yes No |
| k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/le other): iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation? | ☐Yes☐No Docal utility, or |
| 1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. ii. During Operations: iii. During Operations: iii. During Operations: iii. Saturday: iii. Saturday: iii. Sunday: iii. During Operations: iii. During Operations: iii. During Operations: <td></td> | |

| m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? If yes: i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration: | Yes | □No |
|---|---------|------------|
| <i>ii.</i> Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Describe: | □Yes | No |
| n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? If yes: <i>i</i>. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: | Yes | No |
| Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe: | □Yes[| No |
| Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: | □Yes[| No |
| p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? If Yes: <i>i</i> . Product(s) to be stored | []Yes[|]No |
| <i>iii.</i> Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities: | | |
| q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? If Yes: i. Describe proposed treatment(s): | ☐ Yes | No |
| | | |
| ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal | Yes Yes | □No □No |
| of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? If Yes: <i>i</i> . Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: • Construction: tons per (unit of time) • Operation : tons per (unit of time) <i>ii</i> . Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: • Construction: | | |
| Operation: | | |
| iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: Construction: | | |
| Operation: | | |

| s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Type of management or handling of waste proposed other disposal activities): | d for the site (e.g., recyclin | g or transfer station, compostin | ng, landfill, or | | |
| ii | Anticipated rate of disposal/processing: | | | | | |
| | Tons/month, if transfer or other non- | -combustion/thermal treatm | nent, or | | | |
| | • Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal | treatment | | | | |
| | i. If landfill, anticipated site life: | years | | | | |
| ' I | Vill the proposed action at the site involve the commo waste? | ercial generation, treatment | t, storage, or disposal of hazard | lous Yes No | | |
| | Yes: Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to b | e generated, handled or ma | naged at facility: | | | |
| ii. | Generally describe processes or activities involving | hazardous wastes or consti | tuents: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| iii iv | . Specify amount to be handled or generatedt Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec | tons/month cycling or reuse of hazardo | us constituents: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| v. If Y | Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing res: provide name and location of facility: | g offsite hazardous waste f | acility? | Yes No | | |
| If N | lo: describe proposed management of any hazardous | wastes which will not be s | ent to a hazardous waste facilit | y: | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Site and Setting of Proposed Action | | | | | |
| | 1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site | | | | | |
| a. Existing land uses. <i>i.</i> Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site. Urban Industrial Commercial Residential (suburban) Rural (non-farm) Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other (specify): <i>ii.</i> If mix of uses, generally describe: | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| b. I | and uses and covertypes on the project site. | | | | | |
| | Land use or Covertype | Current Acreage | Acreage After Project Completion | Change (Acres +/-) | | |
| • | Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces | <u> </u> | | | | |
| • | Forested | | | | | |
| • | Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- agricultural, including abandoned agricultural) | | | | | |
| • | Agricultural | | | | | |
| | (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) | | | | | |
| ٠ | Surface water features | | | | | |
| | (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) | | | | | |
| ٠ | Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) | | | | | |
| • | Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill) | | | | | |
| ٠ | Other Describe: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? <i>i</i> . If Yes: explain: | □Yes□No |
|---|------------------|
| d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities: | Yes No |
| | |
| e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes: <i>i</i>. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment: | ∐Yes ☐No |
| Dam height: feet | |
| | |
| Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet | |
| <i>ii.</i> Dam's existing hazard classification: | |
| iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: | |
| | |
| | |
| f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management faci If Yes: | ∐Yes∐No lity? |
| <i>i</i> . Has the facility been formally closed? | Yes No |
| If yes, cite sources/documentation: | |
| <i>ii.</i> Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: | |
| iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: | |
| | |
| g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin | ☐Yes ☐No |
| property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? | |
| If Yes: <i>i</i> . Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurre | adı |
| . Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, menduing approximate time when activities occurre | zu. |
| | |
| h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: | Yes No |
| <i>i</i> . Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: | Yes No |
| Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): | |
| Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): | |
| <i>ii.</i> If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: | |
| | |
| <i>iii.</i> Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? | Yes |
| If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): | |
| iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s): | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? | Yes |
|---|--|
| If yes, DEC site ID number: | |
| Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): | |
| Describe any use limitations: Describe any engineering controls: | |
| Describe any engineering controls: Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? | |
| Explain: | Yes No |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | |
| E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site | |
| a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? feet | |
| b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? | |
| If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?% | Yes No |
| c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: | % |
| | % |
| | % |
| d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: | |
| e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: % of site | |
| ☐ Moderately Well Drained:% of site | |
| Poorly Drained% of site | |
| f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 0-10%: % of sit | e |
| 10-15%: % of sit | |
| ☐ 15% or greater:% of sit | e |
| g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| If Yes, describe: | |
| | |
| h. Surface water features. | |
| <i>i</i> . Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, | □Yes□No |
| ponds or lakes)? <i>ii.</i> Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? | |
| If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i. | Yes No |
| <i>iii.</i> Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, | Yes No |
| state or local agency? | |
| iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following inform | ation: |
| Streams: Name Classification | Territoria de la construcción de |
| Lakes or Ponds: Name Classification Wetlands: Name Approximate | |
| Wetlands: Name Approximate Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) | Size |
| v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired | d 🗌 Yes 🔤 No |
| waterbodies? If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: | |
| in yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: | |
| i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? | Yes No |
| j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? | Yes No |
| k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? | Yes No |
| 1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? | Yes No |
| If Yes: | |
| <i>i</i> . Name of aquifer: | |
| | |

| m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site: | |
|---|------------------|
| | |
| | |
| n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? If Yes: i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation): | ☐Yes ☐No |
| <i>ii.</i> Source(s) of description or evaluation: <i>iii.</i> Extent of community/habitat: • Currently: acres • Following completion of project as proposed: acres • Gain or loss (indicate + or -): acres | |
| o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened spec If Yes: i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened): | ☐ Yes∏No ies? |
| p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? If Yes: i. Species and listing: | Yes No |
| q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use: | Yes No |
| E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site | |
| a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? If Yes, provide county plus district name/number: | Yes No |
| b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? <i>i.</i> If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? | Yes No |
| c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? If Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: ii. Biological Community ii. Geological Feature iii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent: | |
| d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes: i. CEA name: ii. Basis for designation: iii. Designating agency and date: | |

| e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissi Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places. | Yes No ioner of the NYS laces? |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| <i>i</i> . Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District <i>ii</i> . Name: | |
| <i>iii.</i> Brief description of attributes on which listing is based: | |
| f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? | ☐Yes ☐No |
| g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: | Yes No |
| <i>i</i> . Describe possible resource(s): | |
| h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? | Yes No |
| If Yes: <i>i</i> . Identify resource: | |
| ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or | scenic byway, |
| etc.):miles. | |
| Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: | Yes No |
| <i>i</i> . Identify the name of the river and its designation: | |
| ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666? | ∐Yes N o |

F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

Please review the Draft Plan for additional information.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name Board of Trustees

Date 02/20/2020

anpata In Signature

Title Mayor

Full Environmental Assessment FormPart 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project : Date :

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land

| 1. | Impact on Land | | | |
|----|---|----------|-------|----------|
| | Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, | 🗆 NO | | YES |
| | the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) | | | |
| | If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2. | | | |
| | | Delevent | No or | Madanata |

| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet. | E2d | | |
| b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater. | E2f | | |
| c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface. | E2a | | |
| d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material. | D2a | | |
| e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases. | D1e | | |
| f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides). | D2e, D2q | | |
| g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area. | Bli | | |
| h. Other impacts: | | | |

| The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.</i> | □ NO | | YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| ij ies , unswer questions a c. ij ivo , move on to section 5. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: | E2g | | |
| b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: | E3c | | |
| c. Other impacts: | | | |
| 3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4. | □ NC | | YES |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may create a new water body. | D2b, D1h | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water. | D2b | | |
| c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body. | D2a | | |
| d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body. | E2h | | |
| e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments. | D2a, D2h | | |
| f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water. | D2c | | |
| g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s). | D2d | | |
| h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies. | D2e | | |
| i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. | E2h | | |
| j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body. | D2q, E2h | | |
| k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, | D1a, D2d | | |

| 1. Other impacts: | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or □ NO □ YES may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5. | | | | | |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur | | |
| a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells. | D2c | | | | |
| b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: | D2c | | | | |
| c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services. | D1a, D2c | | | | |
| d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater. | D2d, E21 | | | | |
| e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated. | D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h | | | | |
| f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer. | D2p, E2l | | | | |
| g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources. | E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c | | | | |
| h. Other impacts: | | | | | |

| 5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6. | □ NO | | YES |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway. | E2i | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain. | E2j | | |
| c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain. | E2k | | |
| d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns. | D2b, D2e | | |
| e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding. | D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k | | |
| f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade? | E1e | | |

| g. Other impacts: | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| 6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7. | □ NO | | YES |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane | D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h | | |
| b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants. | D2g | | |
| c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | D2f, D2g | | |
| d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above. | D2g | | |
| e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | D2s | | |
| f. Other impacts: | | | |

| 7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. 1 If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8. | mq.) | □ NO | □ YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2o | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government. | E2o | | |
| c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2p | | |
| d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government. | E2p | | |

| e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect. | E3c | |
|---|-----|--|
| f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: | E2n | |
| g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site. | E2m | |
| h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: | E1b | |
| i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. | D2q | |
| j. Other impacts: | | |

| 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9. | and b.) | □ NO | □ YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. | E2c, E3b | | |
| b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). | E1a, Elb | | |
| c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. | E3b | | |
| d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. | E1b, E3a | | |
| e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. | El a, E1b | | |
| f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. | C2c, C3, D2c, D2d | | |
| g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | C2c | | |
| h. Other impacts: | | | |

| If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource. | E3h | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. | E3h, C2b | | |
| c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)ii. Year round | E3h | | |
| d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities | E3h E2q, E1c | | |
| e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource. | | | |
| f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile | D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g | | |
| g. Other impacts: | | | |

| | Part I Question(s) | small impact | to large impact may |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | | may occur | occur |
| a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner | E3e | | |
| of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places. | | | |
| b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory. | E3f | | |
| c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: | E3g | | |

| d. Other impacts: | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3: | | | | |
| i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. | E3e, E3g, E3f | | | |
| ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. iii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b | | | | |
| iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting. | E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3 | | | |
| 11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12. | | 0 🗆 | YES | |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur | |
| a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat. | D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p | | | |
| b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. | C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q | | | |
| c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. | C2a, C2c E1c, E2q | | | |
| d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. | | | | |
| e. Other impacts: | | | | |
| 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13. | | 0 🗆 | YES | |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur | |
| | 1 | | | |
| a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | | | |
| a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d E3d | | | |

| 13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems | s. 🗆 N(| | YES |
|--|---|--|---|
| (See Part 1. D.2.j) | | | 115 |
| If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14. | RelevantNo, orModerPart Ismallto larQuestion(s)impactimpact | | |
| a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network. | D2j | may occur | occur |
| b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles. | D2j | | |
| c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access. | D2j | | |
| d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations. | D2j | | |
| e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods. | D2j | | |
| f. Other impacts: | | | |
| | | | |
| 14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) | | | YES |
| If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15. | Relevant | No, or | Moderate |
| | Part I Question(s) | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. | D2k | | |
| b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. | D1f, D1q, D2k | | |
| c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. | D2k | | |
| d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. | D1g | | |
| e. Other Impacts: | | | |
| 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh | ting. 🛛 NC | | YES |
| (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16. | | | |
| (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16. | Relevant | No, or | Moderate |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| | Part I | small impact | to large impact may |
| If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16. a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local | Part I Question(s) | small impact may occur | to large impact may occur |

| d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties. | D2n | |
|---|----------|--|
| e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions. | D2n, E1a | |
| f. Other impacts: | | |

| 16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.</i> | □ No nd h.) | 0 🛛 | YES |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No,or small impact may cccur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. | E1d | | |
| b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. | E1g, E1h | | |
| c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. | E1g, E1h | | |
| d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). | E1g, E1h | | |
| e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. | E1g, E1h | | |
| f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. | D2t | | |
| g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility. | D2q, E1f | | |
| h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. | D2q, E1f | | |
| i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. | D2r, D2s | | |
| j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. | E1f, E1g E1h | | |
| k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures. | E1f, E1g | | |
| 1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site. | D2s, E1f, D2r | | |
| m. Other impacts: | | | |

| 17. Consistency with Community Plans | | | 7 50 |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) | □ NO | ΠY | ES |
| If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18. | | | 1 |
| | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s). | C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b | | |
| b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%. | C2 | | |
| c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations. | C2, C2, C3 | | |
| d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans. | C2, C2 | | |
| e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure. | C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb | | |
| f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure. | C4, D2c, D2d D2j | | |
| g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action) | C2a | | |
| h. Other: | | | |
| | | | |
| 18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. | □ NO | ΠY | ΈS |
| If Tes , unswer questions a - g. If No , proceed to Fart 5. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. | E3e, E3f, E3g | | occui |
| b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. | C4 | | |
| schools, police and fire) | | | |
| | C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a | | |
| schools, police and fire)c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where | C2, C3, D1f | | |
| schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized | C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a | | |
| schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and | C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3 | | |

Project : Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

| | Determination of S | lignificance - T | ype 1 and Un | listed Actions |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| SEQR Status: | □ Type 1 | □ Unlisted | | |
| Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: | | □ Part 1 | □ Part 2 | □ Part 3 |

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information

and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the

____as lead agency that:

 \Box A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

 \square B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).

 \Box C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action:

Name of Lead Agency:

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Title of Responsible Officer:

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

For Further Information:

Contact Person:

Address:

Telephone Number:

E-mail:

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any)

Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html

Date:

Date:

VILLAGE OF UPPER NYACK COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: COMPREHENSIVE GUIDELINES FOR A SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY FEAF PART 3 EVALUATION OF IMPACTS SUPPORTING NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Introduction

The Village of Upper Nyack Comprehensive Plan – Comprehensive Guidelines for a Sustainable Community (the "Comprehensive Plan")-- is the product of years of study and significant public input through a variety of formal and informal public comment sessions and public hearings. The Comprehensive Plan was initially prepared by a committee of Village residents which included a representative from each of the Village's land use boards with the help and support of engineering and planning consultants familiar with the Village's character, policies and patterns of development. It was the intent of the Village of Upper Nyack Board of Trustees (the "Board of Trustees") and the Comprehensive Plan Committee (the "Committee") to develop a new Comprehensive Plan for the 21st Century in an open, thorough, thoughtful and inclusive manner that gives an accurate description of the Village's history and defines the goals that will guide it in the future.

The Committee began the process with development of ten initial main topics for the Comprehensive Plan. The Committee subsequently held a series of public input meetings over the course of five months that further broadened the number of main topics and helped to define the issues to be covered within each main topic area. Using this input along with gathered empirical data and information about the Village and its resources, and various planning and environmental guideline reference information (see attachment A), the Committee and its Consultant Team developed a draft Comprehensive Plan. The Board of Trustees formally accepted the Draft Comprehensive Plan, dated February 6, 2020 and then began the review process, holding public hearings to gather input from members of the community and submitting a draft Comprehensive Plan for comment both to agencies having standing in the process and affected surrounding municipalities, including the Rockland County Department of Planning, the Town of Clarkstown, and the Village of Nyack. Then, having assembled and carefully considered the comments and input made during the review process, the Board of Trustees directed that the draft Comprehensive Plan be revised as required to a final Comprehensive Plan that addresses all appropriate comments. Further, the Board of Trustees, in discharging its duties as lead agency in the review of the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), carefully reviewed the Comprehensive Plan itself and Parts 1 and 2 of the full Environmental Assessment Form (the "EAF").

The primary policy objective of the Comprehensive Plan is to recognize the existing small-town, walkable, predominantly single family residential character of the Village that is uniquely situated between two significant environmental features--the Hudson River to the east and Hook Mountain to the North--and to continue the existing patterns of population concentration, distribution and growth in a manner that will preserve, protect and improve the natural and environmental resources of the Village and the quality of life for those who live, work and recreate in the Village.

Because the Comprehensive Plan itself evaluates the Village's planning goals and policies for the future and their potential to impact and improve the environment in the Village, the analysis that it provides is specifically incorporated into this Negative Declaration in its entirety. Set forth below is a summary of many of the policies and goals set forth in the Comprehensive Plan and how those policies are intended to improve the physical and community environment in the Village in the coming decade.

As a general note, the adoption of a Comprehensive Plan sets the policy goals for the Village for the next decade. As described in Comprehensive Plan Section 14, once adopted, the Village will take various steps to implement the Comprehensive Plan's stated policy objectives. The Village's actions to implement the Comprehensive Plan will occur over a varied time frame. Some actions, such as a review and update to the Village's zoning laws to ensure that they are in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan, will occur in the near term, while others, will have a more extended timeframe. Specific future actions to bring the Village's laws, regulations and policies into line with the Comprehensive Plan are still uncertain at this point and will likely be incremental in nature to ensure that Village culture supports the shift toward a more climate resilient, sustainable community as envisioned by the Comprehensive Plan. However, once the Comprehensive Plan is adopted, it will guide future actions and policies of the Village. Future actions taken by the Village, many of which will also be subject to SEQRA, will be guided and informed by the policy objectives and goals of the Comprehensive Plan. Indeed, once the Comprehensive Plan is adopted, the SEQRA review of all future SEQRA Actions, Type I and Unlisted alike, will have to evaluate whether and how the proposed action is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. *See, e.g. Full EAF*, Part 2, Question 17; short EAF Part 2, Question 1.

Evaluation of Potential Project Impacts (Elaboration on Part 2 Questions)

The Board of Trustees, as Lead Agency under SEQRA, has carefully reviewed the Comprehensive Plan, which is incorporated in its entirety into this Negative Declaration, and Parts 1 and 2 of the full EAF. Because, as mentioned above, one of the primary objectives of the Comprehensive Plan is to adopt laws, policies and practices that are more protective of the environment and that recognize even a small village of less than 1.2 square miles in area and less than 2,500 residents has a role to play in combatting climate

change and building a climate resilient community, the Board of Trustees responded "No or small impact may occur" for each of the environmental impact evaluation questions in EAF Part 2.

Impact on Land (EAF Part 2, question 1). After completing Part 2 of the EAF the Board of Trustees has determined that the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan will not have the potential to have any significant negative impacts on land. The adoption of the Comprehensive Plan will not cause any physical disturbance to land within the Village. Moreover, the Comprehensive Plan proposes policies that will be protective of the land. For example, the Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Village continue and strengthen its limitations on development on and disturbance to steep slopes within the Village *See* Comprehensive Plan 11-10. As indicated in Part 1 of the EAF, the Village is not located within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area (*see* EAF Part 1Bi(*iii*)).

Impact on Geological Features (EAF Part 2, question 2). The adoption of the Comprehensive Plan will not have any significant negative environmental impacts on geological features. Nyack Beach State Park and Hook Mountain, which is a National Natural Landmark, form a part of the Village's northern boundary. Both the Hudson River and Hook Mountain are identified as defining aesthetic resources in the Village (*See* 11-10). The Village currently has no regulations regarding view sight lines protecting views of or from the Hudson River and Hook Mountain (*See* 5-14, 5-15). The Comprehensive Plan recommends creating a Hudson River Overlay Zone to provide an opportunity to develop regulations to include consideration for view corridors and other regulations to protect these resources and to incorporate review of sight lines into the Village's site plan review process. *See* 5-15 and 11-10, 11-11.

Impacts on Surface and Ground Water (EAF Part 2, questions 3 and 4). Protection of natural resources, including the protection of streams and water courses, for future generations is a significant policy goal of the Comprehensive Plan (*see, e.g.,* 11-1). To that end, the Village has existing regulations including general ordinances that regulate the alteration of existing stream channels, and stormwater control regulations which are incorporated in the Village's Zoning Ordinance. The Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Village continue its current practices and offers several specific actions that the Village can take to improve and enhance its ongoing policy goals of protecting Village streams and watercourses (*see* 11-7). Those actions include engaging in additional stream mapping in the Village so that the full extent of the Village's water resources can be identified; creating buffer areas along stream channels to prevent development encroachment into stream channels, continuing and strengthening stormwater mitigation measures as a part of new development and encouraging natural infiltration and recharge when possible, pursuing opportunities for stream daylighting and the acquisition of easements

by the Village over existing streams to protect, and, where appropriate, improve or enhance stream channels. 11-7. The Comprehensive Plan also recommends that the Village pursue the creation of a Local Waterfront Revitalization Plan and Harbor Management Plan to enable it to guide appropriate development along and use of the Hudson River waterfront (*see* 6-14, 6-15).

The Village recognizes that water is a scarce resource in Rockland County. The Comprehensive Plan's Climate Planning & Resilience Section recognizes the scarcity of this resource and provides several water conservation measures and policies for the Village to pursue in the coming decade to preserve and recharge water resources. *See* 13-7 through 13-9; 10-7, 10-8.

Impact on Flooding (EAF Part 2, question 5). The Comprehensive Plan devotes an entire chapter (Chapter 13) to Climate Planning and Resilience. It recognizes that even a small community, such as Upper Nyack, must act with forethought and purpose to move in the direction of adopting policies, practices, and laws that move the community in the direction of less environmental impact. Protection from flooding is an important component of that climate resilience planning. To that end, the Comprehensive Plan recommends the following planning and protection measures: (i) protection and preservation of open space, (ii) protection, maintenance and improvement of stream channels to ensure that they can handle stormwater flows, (iii) mapping and preservation of wetland areas, and (iv) consideration of stormwater management and mitigation as a part of all land development activities. 13-3 through 13-7; *see also* 11-7. Additionally, the Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Village's annual capital spending should include the allocation of funds for upgrades and extensions to the Village's municipal drainage system, and that the Village should consider acquisition of drainage easements to allow it a more direct role in maintaining drainage infrastructure to ensure its effective operation. 10-7.

Impacts on Air (EAF Part 2, question 6): The adoption of the Comprehensive Plan does not undertake or permit any state regulated air emission sources. Moreover, the Quality of Life (Section 12) and Climate Planning & Resilience (Section 13) Sections of the Comprehensive Plan both identify the pursuit of policies that reduce Upper Nyack's carbon footprint and promote improved air quality in the Village as Village goals in the coming decade. Chapter 13 specifically provides a variety of recommended policies that the Village can adopt to reduce its carbon footprint (*see* 13-9 through 13-12). Such policies include promoting alternative energy usage and green building design, encouraging low-pollution lawn care equipment and evaluating the Village's buildings, vehicles and policies to identify areas where the Village's carbon footprint can be reduced.

Impacts on Plants and Animals (EAF Part 2, question 7). There are multiple policies and goals in the Comprehensive Plan that will foster the preservation of flora and fauna in the Village. For example, the Village has recently purchased the 12 acre River Hook – The Hester Haring Cason Preserve (the "Preserve") with its primary focus being the preservation of open space on this Property. Over the next decade, the Village plans to work on a master plan for the Preserve that will foster its rural, semi-wild character (7-7, 7-8). The Comprehensive Plan encourages the Village to consider comprehensive efforts to protect and preserve significant trees within the Village, and to provide support to residents to establish a small tree farm on the Preserve where seedling trees could be raised with the goal of providing private and municipal properties with access to trees (11-8, 11-9). The Comprehensive Plan also recommends that the Village impose more stringent limitations on disturbance to steeps slopes, which are prevalent throughout parts of the Village (11-10), and the protection of wetlands (11-9).

Impacts on Agricultural Resources (EAF Part 2, question 8). As the History section of the Comprehensive Plan describes, although Upper Nyack started as an agricultural community during Colonial times and throughout the early republic, development pressures over the past centuries, particularly during the 20th century with the post-World War II boom and the construction of the Tappan Zee Bridge and New York State Thruway, transformed the Village from an agricultural Village to the small-town, suburban single-family residential scale Village that exists at present. Although agricultural uses are not prevalent in the Village, the Village's General Ordinances do permit the keeping of, among other things, poultry, horses, and livestock on properties within the Village upon the issuance of a special use permit by the Village's Zoning Board of Appeals (*see* Upper Nyack General Ordinance 5.13). Several residents have sought approvals under this General Ordinance provision to keep and raise chickens on their property and it is expected that this use will continued to be permitted in the Village.

Impact on Aesthetic Resources (EAF Part 2, question 9). Upper Nyack is bounded by two beautiful and majestic physical features – Hook Mountain to its north and the Hudson River to its east. Additionally, the Village maintains the Preserve, and several trailways including the Long Path and the Hudson River Greenway Trail traverse parts of the Village. The Comprehensive Plan makes several recommendations to preserve these resources. First, it proposes the creation of a R-160 conservation zoning district to be applied to large areas in the northern part of the Village that are a part of Hook Mountain State Park, Nyack Beach State Park and Marydell Faith and Life Center so that if those lands should become subject to development in the future their significant natural resources can be protected to the maximum extent practicable. 5-12. The Comprehensive Plan also recommends the creation of a

Hudson River Overlay Zoning District to run the length of the Village between the Hudson River and North Broadway. The creation of that district would provide an opportunity to develop regulations to include consideration of view corridors, setbacks, building height, slopes, landscaping, preservation of significant trees, and development of the riverfront edge. 5-14, 5-15. The Comprehensive Plan also recommends including a consideration of view sheds and sight lines in the review of all site plan application so that the protection and preservation of the Village's significant aesthetic resources is considered when making decisions on a broad range of land use applications. 5-14, 5-15.

Impact on Historic and Archaeological Resources (EAF Part 2, Section 10). As a small riverfront village with a history of settlement dating back to the 1600s, Upper Nyack has a wealth of historic areas and landmarks. These include, but are not limited to, Hook Mountain, which is partially located within the Village and is designated as a National Natural Landmark, an Important Bird Area, and a Bird Conservation Area; the VanHouten's Landing Historic District; River Hook (the Preserve); Village Hall; the Upper Nyack Firehouse; the Marydell Faith and Life Center; the Old Stone Meeting House; and homes listed on the National Register of Historic Places including Brookside (406 North Broadway) and Shadowcliff (521 North Broadway). The Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Village prepare an inventory and map of all historic or significant buildings within the Village and consider the adoption of regulations pertaining to the construction, demolition or alteration of protected structures. Section 8. It also proposes incentives for the preservation of architectural or historically significant structures. For example, to encourage the preservation of large, older homes and Hudson River-front mansions, the Comprehensive Plan recommends the continuation of the Multiple Dwelling Conversion special permit use currently in the Code. This special permit allows for the preservation of large or historically significant homes by permitting their conversion to multifamily housing (a use that is not otherwise permitted in the Village) (5-13).

Impact on Open Space and Recreation (EAF Part 2, Section 11). The Village of Upper Nyack has become more developed since the 1999 Comprehensive Plan was adopted, making parks, open spaces and recreational facilities increasingly more important to the Village. Nyack Beach State Park and Hook Mountain State Park both offer significant open space and recreational opportunities for residents of the Village. In 2018, the Village acquired the Preserve. The Preserve is envisioned as the "green heart" of the Village's Walkable Village concept. The Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Village, with significant resident input, should develop a master plan for the Preserve that, among other things, fosters and maintains its rural, semi-wild quality, plans for the future of the buildings on the Preserve and how they can be used in a manner that is beneficial to the community, and encourages pedestrian

use an access to the Preserve. 7-8. There are also significant trailways and bike routes that traverse the Village. The Comprehensive Plan recommends incorporating these recreational attributes as part of the Walkable Village and Complete Streets concepts. 7-10 through 7-12

Impact on Critical Environmental Areas (EAF Part 2, Section 12). Currently there are no Critical Environmental Areas within the jurisdictional boundary of the Village. The maintenance and protection of environmentally sensitive areas and natural resources is an important goal of the Comprehensive Plan and has been identified in the Comprehensive Plan as a critical component of maintaining the Village's future climate resilience. 13-4. Accordingly, the Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Village define, map and develop standards for the protection of Village-defined Critical Environmental Areas, which will encourage their preservation and protection for the coming decade. 13-4.

Impact on Transportation (EAF Part 2, Section 13). Land development patterns projected under the Comprehensive Plan will follow the patterns that currently exist in the Village. As presently configured, and as proposed to be continued under the policies and goals of the Comprehensive Plan, the distinct majority of land in the Village is used for single-family residential purposes, with certain discrete sections of the Village zoned for commercial use. The primary commercial corridor runs along Route 9W in the western portion of the Village. The Village Center district consists of six fully built out parcels in the heart of the Village at the intersection of Broadway and Castle Heights Avenue. The last of the commercial districts – the MB District – is comprised of a single parcel that currently is and historically has been used as a marine related business. Additionally, municipal, community, educational and religious uses are generally disbursed throughout the Village. Because no change in the fundamental patterns of development or significant increases in permitted residential densities is proposed as a part of the Comprehensive Plan, traffic patterns and volumes within the Village are expected to remain consistent. However, the Comprehensive Plan recommends improving the Village's roadway network to promote the goal of "Complete Streets" and provide a safe, efficient roadway system that serves motor vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists to maintain and enhance the Village as a "walkable village." Comprehensive Plan Section 9 describes in detail the Upper Nyack road networks, the various components of the transportation network, and how those components fit into the Complete Streets concept.

Impact on Energy (EAF PART 2, Section 14). The Comprehensive Plan acknowledges that the reduction of the Village's carbon footprint is an important step in responsible climate planning and resilience. To that end, the Comprehensive Plan suggests a multifaceted approach to reducing energy consumption and moving toward more renewable sources of energy (13-9 through 13-12). That

approach involves evaluating Village owned properties and vehicles to evaluate where energy efficiency can be achieved, supporting policies and regulations that encourage the use of renewable energy such as the Community Choice Aggregation Program, and consideration of regulations designed to promote the use of community solar and appropriate individual solar opportunities (10-8, 10-9). The Comprehensive Plan also recommends strong enforcement of the New York State Energy Code and consideration of the adoption of enhanced Energy Code requirements (13-11).

Impact on Noise, Odor and Light (EAF Part 2, Section 15). As indicated in the Introduction section above, the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan will not directly cause or permit any physical modification to property within the Village. Nor is it intended to promote policies that will significantly change the existing patterns of population concentration, distribution or growth; indeed the intent is to preserve the existing and longstanding character of the Village as a small-town, walkable village of mostly single family homes. Recent trends in the Village involving many different factors, particularly the use of motorized lawn care machinery throughout the Village, has been an ongoing source of unwanted noise and negative air quality impacts which many perceive as compromising the quality of life in the Village. The Comprehensive Plan recommends that the Village consider adopting an enforceable noise ordinance to seek to regulate and limit the creation of unnecessary noise and air quality impacts in the Village and, through the regulation of, among other things, motorized lawn equipment. Concurrent with the review of the Comprehensive Plan the Board of Trustees has reviewed a proposed Local Law regulating unnecessary sound in the Village. This Local Law aligns with the goals of the Comprehensive Plan of the reasonable regulation of noise in the Village. (12-6).

Impact on Human Health (EAF Part 2, Section 16). The adoption of the Comprehensive Plan does not have the potential to expose the residents of the Village to new or existing sources of contaminants. As described at length herein, and in the Comprehensive Plan itself, the policies and goals of the Comprehensive Plan are aimed at ensuring that Upper Nyack continues to thrive as a healthy community into the future by, among other things, making positive changes to make the Village more resilient to climate change, preserving and enhancing the transportation systems in the Village to encourage use by pedestrians and cyclists as well as motor vehicles, and seeking to preserve protect and enhance significant environmental resources such as open spaces, streams, wetlands, steep slopes and significant trees.

Consistency with Community Plans (EAF Part 2, Section 17). The proposed Action is the adoption of the Village's Comprehensive Plan, which will guide the policies, decisions and legislative agenda of the Village into the next decade. If the Comprehensive Plan is adopted, the SEQRA review of

all future actions occurring within the Village will have to be evaluated for consistency with the Comprehensive Plan.

Consistency with Community Character (EAF Part 2, Section 18). Land development under the Comprehensive Plan will follow the same general patterns as currently exist in the Village with the overwhelming majority of land used for single-family residential purposes; commercial development located in the 9W corridor on the Village's western boundary; small-scale commercial development within the Village's VC district; water dependent commercial development in the MB District; and municipal, community, educational and religious uses generally disbursed throughout the Village. The Comprehensive Plan seeks to continue, rather than materially change, these historic patterns of development within the Village. To complement the preservation and continuation of the historic patterns of development within the Village, the Comprehensive Plan recommends policies and goals that will strengthen environmental protections in a manner discussed above and in the Comprehensive Plan to encourage the preservation and protection of open space, protect and preserve significant view sheds, strengthen regulations regarding disturbance to environmentally sensitive features such as steep slopes and trees, provide for the preservation and improvement of wetlands and stream channels within the Village to mitigate the stormwater effects of ever strengthening storms, and encourage the conservation of energy and water resources.

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, and on the Comprehensive Plan which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety, the Board of Trustees finds, as Lead Agency under SEQRA, that the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan, which is a SEQRA Type I Action, will have no significant adverse environmental impacts and therefore an Environmental Impact Statement is not required for the proposed Action and issues a Negative Declaration for the proposed Action.

BIBLIOGRAPHY & REFERENCES GENERAL:

- Seminar: Comprehensive Planning Rockland Municipal Planning Federation and NYS DOS, Division of Local Government Services December 2017 Document: 'Comprehensive Planning' NYS DOS, Division of Local Government Services
- 2. Seminar:

Hot Button Land Use Issues Rockland Municipal Planning Federation and NYS DOS, Division of Local Government Services January 22, 2018 Documents: 'Hot Button Land Use Issues' NYS DOS, Division of Local Government Services

3. Seminar:

Sustainable Development: Tools & Techniques Rockland Municipal Planning Federation and Land Use Leadership Alliance (LULA) October 23, 2018 Documents: *'Water Quality & Quantity Protection'* Pace Land Use Law Center

Preservation of Natural Features Pace Land Use Law Center

4. Seminar:

Innovative Comprehensive Plans Westchester Municipal Planning Federation *and* Pace Land Use Law Center October 29, 2018

- 5. Godschalk, David & Rouse, David. *Sustaining Places: Best Practices for Comprehensive Plans.* American Planning Association, 2015.
- 6. Rockland Lake, Hook Mountain, Nyack Beach, and Haverstraw Beach State Parks Master Plan - July 10, 2013

Page 1 Bibliography & References Comprehensive Guidelines for a Sustainable Community The New York State Office of Parks, Recreation & Historic Preservation and The Palisades Interstate Park Commission

7. Hudson River Valley Greenway – Trail Connections Plan

A Planning Document for Future Extensions & Interconnections of the Hudson River Valley Greenway Trail System. Hudson River Valley Greenway *and* Alta Planning + Design Winter 2018

Defining A Community Through the Plan Legal Memorandum LU09 NYS DOS. Office of General Counsel

9. A Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan:

For the Nyack River Villages and the Nyack School District Fitzgerald & Halliday, Inc. *and* STREETPLANS August 2018

- 10. New York State Coastal Management Program and Final Environmental Impact Statement NYS DOS 2017
- 11. New York State Solar Guidebook for Local Governments NYSERDA August 2020
- 12. Comprehensive Water Conservation and Implementation Plan County of Rockland

Rockland County Task Force on Water Resources Management, Conservation Committee *and* Jacobs Civil Consultants, Inc. March 3, 2020

13. Environmentally Sensitive Areas Guidance Document

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Release Prevention October 2017

14. Comprehensive Plans:

| 4.00 | Inprenensive P | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| а. | Town of Clarks | stown 2009 Comprehensive Plan & | | | | |
| | Final Generic Impact Statement (FGEIS) | | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | Town of Clarkstown Planning Department | | | | |
| b. | Rockland Tomorrow: Rockland County Comprehensive Plan | | | | | |
| | March 1, 2011 | | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | Rockland County Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) BFJ Planning | | | | |
| C. | Plan Nyack: Bl | ueprint for the Future | | | | |
| | The Village of I | Nyack Comprehensive Plan – October 13, 2016 | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | The Nyack Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee BFJ Planning | | | | |
| d. | Dobbs Ferry Vi | sion Plan: Our Village, Our Future – September 28, 2010 | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | The Village of Dobbs Ferry Land Use Committee | | | | |
| | 1 2 | Philips Preiss Shapiro Associates, Inc. | | | | |
| e. | A Framework f | or the Future of New Castle | | | | |
| | The 2017 New | Castle Comprehensive Plan – June 21, 2017 | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | Town of New Castle Planning Department | | | | |
| | | Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee | | | | |
| | | Pace Land Use Law Center / Kevin Dwarka, LLC | | | | |
| f. | Village of Airm | ont Draft Comprehensive Plan Update – April 4, 2018 | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | Village of Airmont Comprehensive Plan Update Committee NP&W | | | | |
| g. | Village of Ossi | ning Comprehensive Plan – July 2009 | | | | |
| _ | Prepared By: | Village of Ossining Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee Philips Preiss Shapiro Associates, Inc. | | | | |
| h. | Village of Irving | gton 2003 Comprehensive Plan – March 2003 | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | Irvington Land Use Committee | | | | |
| | | Buckhurst Fish & Jacquemart, Inc. | | | | |
| i. | Village of Irving | gton 2018 Comprehensive Plan Update – May 21, 2018 | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | Comprehensive Plan Committee | | | | |
| | | David B. Smith, Planning & Development Advisors | | | | |
| j. | • | o Comprehensive Plan | | | | |
| | February 13, 20 | | | | | |
| k. | - | etown Comprehensive Plan – May 2003 | | | | |
| | Prepared By: | Comprehensive Plan Committee | | | | |
| | | Saccardi & Schiff Inc | | | | |

Saccardi & Schiff, Inc.